

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.				
08/737,633	11/15/96	SAMARITANI	F P/42-60				
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <p>HM12/0919</p> <p>OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN</p> <p>1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS</p> <p>NEW YORK NY 10036-8403</p> </div> <div> <table border="1"> <tr><td>EXAMINER</td></tr> <tr><td>ART UNIT F11</td></tr> <tr><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr> <tr><td>26</td></tr> </table> </div> </div>				EXAMINER	ART UNIT F11	PAPER NUMBER	26
EXAMINER							
ART UNIT F11							
PAPER NUMBER							
26							

DATE MAILED: 1647

09/19/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) ☐ is extended to run _____ or continues to run _____ from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- ☒ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
- ☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 11 SEPT 00 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☐ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
- a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b. ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☒ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: NONE

Claims objected to: 4, 8

Claims rejected: 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 10

However;

- ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): _____

4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Applicant argues that because the prior art exemplifies the use of dextrose at high pH and a protein stabilizer at low pH, it would not have been obvious to use mannitol as a stabilizer at low pH according to the suggestion of the prior art. The essence of the proposed drawing correction is that the teachings of the references are limited to their exemplification. This argument is wrong as a matter of law and is not persuasive.
5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and convincing reasons why it was not earlier presented.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
- ☐ Other that the teachings of the references are limited to their exemplification.

DAVID L. FITZGERALD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 10